



Department of the Treasury

2024 Consolidated Privacy and Civil Liberties Reports



Message from the Assistant Secretary for Management



As the Department of the Treasury’s Senior Agency Official for Privacy and Chief Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer, I am pleased to present Treasury’s 2024 Consolidated Privacy and Civil Liberties Reports.

- Annual Privacy Report required by Section 522(a) of the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2005;
- Semiannual Privacy and Civil Liberties Report required by Section 803 of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007; and
- Annual Data Mining Report required by the Federal Agency Data Mining Reporting Act of 2007.

The Department of the Treasury (“Treasury” or “the Department”) is committed to safeguarding and ensuring privacy compliance through integrated technology, policy, and high-quality standards. As a collector of data on millions of individuals and companies, the Department strives to be a leader in privacy best practices and privacy policy.

Inquiries about these reports may be directed to privacy@treasury.gov. These reports, as well as previous reports, can be found on the Department’s [Privacy Act website](#).

Aditi Hardikar
Assistant Secretary for Management
U.S. Department of the Treasury



2024 Consolidated Privacy and Civil Liberties Reports

Table of Contents

Message from the Assistant Secretary for Management	2
Statutory Requirements	5
The Reporting Periods.....	5
The Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2005 Annual Privacy Report.....	5
The Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007.....	5
The Data Mining Reporting Act of 2007 Annual Report.....	6
SECTION ONE: DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY CONSOLIDATED APPROPRIATIONS ACT OF 2005 ANNUAL PRIVACY REPORT	8
Complaints of Privacy Violations	8
System of Records Notices (SORNs).....	8
Privacy and Civil Liberties Impact Assessments (PCLIA)	8
Elimination of the Unnecessary Use of Social Security Numbers	9
Privacy Policies on Treasury Websites	9
Treasury Orders and Directives.....	9
Privacy Awareness and Training: A Culture of Privacy Awareness	10
Treasury Computer Matching Programs	10
SECTION TWO: DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY SECOND SEMIANNUAL 2024 IMPLEMENTING RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE 9/11 COMMISSION ACT OF 2007 PRIVACY AND CIVIL LIBERTIES ACTIVITIES REPORT FOR REPORTING PERIOD APRIL 1, 2024 TO SEPTEMBER 30, 2024.....	12
Introduction	12
Privacy and Civil Liberties Reviews Undertaken.....	13
Privacy and Civil Liberties Impact Assessments (PCLIA)	14
System of Records Notices	14
Computer Matching Programs.....	14

Privacy Compliance Reviews..... 15

Advice and Responses..... 15

Privacy Complaints and Dispositions..... 17

Conclusions 17

**SECTION THREE: DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY FY 2024 DATA MINING REPORTING ACT OF
2007 ANNUAL REPORT 18**

 The Role of the Treasury Chief Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer (CPCLO)..... 18

 Definitions 18

 Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) FY 2024 Data Mining Report..... 19

 Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau (TTB) FY 2024 Data Mining Report 31

 Internal Revenue Service (IRS) FY 2024 Data Mining Report..... 37

 Conclusion..... 46

 Appendix A..... 47

Statutory Requirements

In this report, Treasury consolidates the following three reporting requirements to reduce duplication and provide Congress and the public with a more comprehensive overview of Treasury’s privacy compliance and oversight activities:

- (1) The Annual Privacy Report required by Section 522(a) of the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2005;
- (2) The Annual Data Mining Report required by Section 804 of the Federal Agency Data Mining Reporting Act of 2007; and
- (3) The second semi-annual Privacy and Civil Liberties Report required by Section 803 of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007.

The Reporting Periods

These reports cover Treasury activities during the 2024 fiscal year (FY24). The Annual Privacy Report and the Annual Data Mining Report cover the entire fiscal year while the Section 803 report covers the second half of FY24 (April 1, 2024 to September 30, 2024); the first Section 803 report for FY24 is a standalone report and will be posted to the [Treasury’s Privacy website](https://www.treasury.gov/privacy/annual-reports/Pages/default.aspx) at <https://www.treasury.gov/privacy/annual-reports/Pages/default.aspx>.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2005 Annual Privacy Report

The Annual Privacy Report was prepared in accordance with Section 522(a) of the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2005, which includes the following requirement: Privacy Officer— Each agency shall have a Chief Privacy Officer to assume primary responsibility for privacy and data protection policy, including—

- (6) preparing a report to Congress on an annual basis on activities of the Department that affect privacy, including complaints of privacy violations, implementation of section 552a of title 5, 11 United States Code, internal controls, and other relevant matters;

The Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007, Semi-Annual Privacy and Civil Liberties Report

Section 803 of the 9/11 Commission Act sets forth the following requirements:

(f) Periodic Reports –

- (1) In General – The privacy officers and civil liberties officers of each department, agency, or element referred to or described in subsection (a) or (b) shall periodically, but not less than semiannually; submit a report on the activities of such officers—

(A)(i) to the appropriate committees of Congress, including the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate, the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives, the Select Committee on Intelligence of

- the Senate, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives;
- (ii) to the head of such department, agency, or element; and
 - (iii) to the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board.

(B) which shall be in unclassified form to the greatest extent possible, with a classified annex where necessary.

(2) Contents –

Each report submitted under paragraph (1) shall include information on the discharge of each of the functions of the officer concerned, including—

- (A) information on the number and types of reviews undertaken;
- (B) the type of advice provided and the response given to such advice;
- (C) the number and nature of the complaints received by the department, agency, or element concerned for alleged violations; and
- (D) a summary of the disposition of such complaints, the reviews and inquiries conducted, and the impact of the activities of such officer.

The Data Mining Reporting Act of 2007 Annual Report

The Federal Agency Data Mining Reporting Act of 2007, 42 U.S.C. §2000ee-3, includes the following requirement:

(c) Reports on data mining activities by Federal agencies

- (1) Requirement for report - The head of each department or agency of the Federal Government that is engaged in any activity to use or develop data mining shall submit a report to Congress on all such activities of the department or agency under the jurisdiction of that official. The report shall be produced in coordination with the privacy officer of that department or agency, if applicable, and shall be made available to the public, except for an annex described in subparagraph (C).
- (2) Content of report - Each report submitted under subparagraph (A) shall include, for each activity to use or develop data mining, the following information:
 - (A) A thorough description of the data mining activity, its goals, and, where appropriate, the target dates for the deployment of the data mining activity;
 - (B) A thorough description of the data mining technology that is being used or will be used, including the basis for determining whether a particular pattern or anomaly is indicative of terrorist or criminal activity;
 - (C) A thorough description of the data sources that are being or will be used;
 - (D) An assessment of the efficacy or likely efficacy of the data mining activity in providing accurate information consistent with and valuable to the stated goals and plans for the use or development of the data mining activity;
 - (E) An assessment of the impact or likely impact of the implementation of the data mining activity on the privacy and civil liberties of individuals, including a thorough description of the actions that are being taken or will be taken with regard to the property, privacy, or other rights or privileges of any individual or individuals as a result of the implementation of the data mining activity;
 - (F) A list and analysis of the laws and regulations that govern the information being

or to be collected, reviewed, gathered, analyzed, or used in conjunction with the data mining activity, to the extent applicable in the context of the data mining activity;

- (G) A thorough discussion of the policies, procedures, and guidelines that are in place or that are to be developed and applied in the use of such data mining activity in order to—
 - (i) protect the privacy and due process rights of individuals, such as redress procedures; and
 - (ii) ensure that only accurate and complete information is collected, reviewed, gathered, analyzed, or used, and guard against any harmful consequences of potential inaccuracies.

SECTION ONE: DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY CONSOLIDATED APPROPRIATIONS ACT OF 2005 ANNUAL PRIVACY REPORT

Complaints of Privacy Violations

Section 522 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2005 requires Treasury to address in its annual report complaints of privacy violations. Section 803 of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007 (“9/11 Commission Act”) requires Treasury to address both privacy and civil liberties complaints. Therefore, to avoid redundancy, both privacy and civil liberties complaints will be addressed in the Section 803 Report in Section 2 of these consolidated reports.

Implementation of the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C., Section 552a.

System of Records Notices

A system of records is a grouping of paper or electronic records maintained by a Federal agency from which information about an individual is retrieved by the name of the individual or another unique identifier assigned to the individual (e.g., Social Security number). Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552a(e)(4), agencies are required to publish a system of records notice (SORN) in the Federal Register for each system of records. Treasury has published regulations describing how it collects, maintains, and discloses records about individuals that are maintained in a system of records. These regulations provide procedures by which individuals may request access to their information maintained by Treasury.¹

A list of the Department’s SORNs is available on Treasury’s Privacy Act website, <https://home.treasury.gov/footer/privacy-act/system-of-records-notices-sorns>

Internal Controls

Privacy and Civil Liberties Impact Assessments

A Privacy and Civil Liberties Impact Assessment (PCLIA) is an analysis of how information is handled in compliance with legal, regulatory, and privacy policy requirements, as well as information privacy issues that may affect civil liberties. This includes an analysis to ensure compliance with the Privacy Act requirements. It allows the assessment of the risks associated with collecting, maintaining, and disseminating information and discusses the mitigation strategies used to address those risks. Section 208 of the E-Government Act of 2002 (E-Gov Act) requires agencies to conduct PCLIAs for electronic information systems and collections that involve the collection, maintenance, or dissemination of information in identifiable form from or about members of the public. Links to the Treasury’s PCLIAs are available on Treasury’s website, <https://home.treasury.gov/footer/privacy-act/privacy-and-civil-liberties-impact-assessments>

¹ See 31 C.F.R. §§ 1.20-1.36

Elimination of the Unnecessary Use of Social Security Numbers

Treasury issued regulations required by the Social Security Number Fraud Prevention Act and inserted them into the Department's Privacy Act Regulations, specifying the circumstances under which Treasury must only: (1) collect full Social Security numbers when relevant and necessary to accomplish a legally authorized purpose related to a Treasury mission; and (2) include a Social Security account number on a document sent by mail when necessary.

Privacy Policies on Treasury Websites

Treasury maintains online privacy policies to provide notice to Treasury website visitors describing how Treasury collects, uses, shares, and disposes of information it collects on its websites. This includes providing notice about how certain information collected is handled in compliance with the Privacy Act. Treasury bureaus, offices, and Treasury's Inspectors General maintain their own online privacy policies to address the unique information they collect on their websites. Links to Treasury bureau and office policies may be found on Treasury's webpage at <https://home.treasury.gov/subfooter/privacy-policy>.

Treasury Orders and Directives

Treasury Orders (TO) and Treasury Directives (TD) serve as the controls by which Treasury ensures that appropriate stakeholders are involved in privacy and civil liberties policy development and implementation.

Treasury Orders are documents signed by the Secretary or Deputy Secretary that:

- delegate authority from the Secretary or Deputy Secretary to other senior Treasury officials;
- define the organization of the Department and the reporting; relationships among the most senior officials; and/or
- establish Treasury policy.

The Treasury Order that is relevant to this report is:

[TO-102-25, Delegation of Authority Concerning Privacy and Civil Liberties](#)

Treasury Directives and Publications are documents signed by the appropriate senior Treasury officials that:

- further delegate authority from the most senior officials to other Treasury officials; and/or
- provide processes for implementing legal obligations and Departmental policy objectives.

Treasury Directives and Publications relevant to this report are:

- [TD 25-04, The Privacy Act of 1974, as Amended](#)

- [TDP, 25-04, The Privacy Act Handbook](#)
- [TD 25-06, Treasury Data Integrity Board](#)
- [TD 25-07, Privacy and Civil Liberties Impact Assessment](#)
- [TD 25-08, Safeguarding Against and Responding to the Breach of Personally Identifiable Information](#)
- [TD 25-09, Privacy and Civil Liberties Activities Pursuant to Section 803 of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007, P.L. 110-53](#)
- [TD 25-10, Information Sharing Environment Privacy and Civil Liberties Policy](#)

Privacy Awareness and Training: A Culture of Privacy Awareness

Subsection (e)(9) of the Privacy Act requires that agencies provide instruction to persons involved in the design, development, operation, or maintenance of systems of records. All Treasury employees are required to take annual privacy training which includes information regarding the potential criminal penalties and civil liability for employees who do not comply with the Privacy Act requirements. In FY24, 92.98% of all Treasury employees and contractors successfully completed this training.

Treasury Computer Matching Programs

Pursuant to the Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988,² Treasury maintains a Data Integrity Board to oversee its computer matching programs. Computer matching programs provide a direct benefit to the public by assisting in the elimination of errors and in monitoring fraud, waste, and abuse. Matching agreements expire 18 months after execution unless renewed for an additional 12-month period. After a renewal period expires, an agreement may be re-established for an additional 18 months. For 2024 data on Treasury's computer matching agreements, please refer to pages 14-15. Treasury's computer matching agreements can be found at: [Computer Matching Programs | U.S. Department of the Treasury](#)

² Pub. L. No. 100-503.

**SECTION TWO: DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
SEMIANNUAL 2024 REPORTING ON PRIVACY AND CIVIL
LIBERTIES ACTIVITIES PURSUANT TO SECTION 803 OF THE
IMPLEMENTING RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE 9/11
COMMISSION ACT OF 2007 FOR REPORTING PERIOD APRIL 1,
2024 TO SEPTEMBER 30, 2024**

1. Introduction

The Assistant Secretary for Management (ASM) is the Department of the Treasury's (Treasury) Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer (PCLO). As the PCLO, the ASM is responsible for implementing the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007's privacy and civil liberties requirements.

To assist the ASM with these responsibilities, TD 25-04, "The Privacy Act of 1974, as amended," designates the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Privacy, Transparency, and Records (DASPTR) as the Acting ASM's principal advisor on issues related to privacy and civil liberties. The DASPTR leads the Office of Privacy, Transparency, & Records (OPTR) and provides the ASM with day-to-day support in executing PCLO duties.

Section 803 of the 9/11 Commission Act, 42 U.S.C. § 2000ee-1, sets forth the following requirements:

(f) Periodic Reports –

(1) In General –

The privacy officers and civil liberties officers of each department, agency, or element referred to or described in subsection (a) or (b) shall periodically, but not less than semiannually; submit a report on the activities of such officers—

(A)

(i) to the appropriate committees of Congress, including the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate, the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives, the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives;

(ii) to the head of such department, agency, or element; and to the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board; and

(B) which shall be in unclassified form to the greatest extent possible, with a classified annex where necessary.

(2) Contents –Each report submitted under paragraph (1) shall include information on the discharge of each of the functions of the officer concerned, including—

(a) information on the number and types of reviews undertaken;

- (b) the type of advice provided and the response given to such advice;
- (c) the number and nature of the complaints received by the department, agency, or element concerned for alleged violations; and
- (d) a summary of the disposition of such complaints, the reviews and inquiries conducted, and the impact of the activities of such officer.

The Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, Pub. L. No. 113-126 (July 7, 2014), changed the reporting period from quarterly to semiannually. The semiannual reports cover the following time periods: April – September and October – March.³

2. Privacy and Civil Liberties Reviews Undertaken

Treasury reviews programs and information technology (IT) that may present privacy risks. Privacy and civil liberties reviews include the following Treasury activities:

- (a) Privacy and Civil Liberties Threshold Analyses are the Treasury mechanism for reviewing IT systems, programs, and other activities to determine whether the program is meeting information compliance requirements (civil liberties, privacy, records management, Section 508 compliance under the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995), and to determine whether a more comprehensive Privacy and Civil Liberties Impact Assessment (PCLIA) is required,
- (b) PCLIA as required by the E-Government Act of 2002,⁴
- (c) System of records notices, and any associated Final Rules for Privacy Act exemptions, as required by the Privacy Act,⁴
- (d) Privacy Act Statements,⁵ to provide notice to individuals at the point of collection, as required under the Privacy Act,
- (e) Computer Matching Agreements, as required by the Computer Matching Privacy Protection Act,⁶
- (f) Data Mining Reports, as required by Section 804 of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007,⁷
- (g) Privacy Compliance Reviews, and
- (h) Other privacy reviews, such as implementation reviews for information sharing agreements.
- (i) Privacy and Civil Liberties Impact Assessments

The PCLIA process is one of Treasury’s key mechanisms to ensure that programs and technologies sustain, and do not erode, privacy protections. During FY24, Treasury published 99 new, updated, or renewed PCLIA. All published Treasury PCLIA are available on [Treasury’s privacy website](#).

³ 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note.

⁴ 5 U.S.C. § 552a(j), (k). *See also* Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A-108, “Federal Agency Responsibilities for Review, Reporting, and Publication under the Privacy Act,” 81 FR 94424 (Dec. 23, 2016).

⁵ 5 U.S.C. § 552a(e)(3)

⁶ 5 U.S.C. § 552a(o)-(u)

⁷ 42 U.S.C. § 2000ee-3.

3. System of Records Notices

During the reporting period, Treasury published and updated 6 SORNs. All Treasury SORNs, Notices of Proposed Rulemaking, and Final Rules for Privacy Act Exemptions are available at: [System of Records Notices \(SORNs\) | U.S. Department of the Treasury](#)

Treasury has determined that the information contained in its systems of records is accurate, timely, relevant, complete, and necessary to maintain the proper performance of documented agency functions. Please consult our website or the Federal Register for the full text of Treasury SORNs.

Computer Matching Programs

The computer matching provisions of the Privacy Act improve oversight and protect the due process rights of individuals whose Privacy Act records are shared in inter-agency information sharing arrangements known as “matching programs.” Treasury participates in 8 active computer matching programs in accordance with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended by the Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act. To comply with the Act, as well as all relevant regulations and guidance, Treasury established a Data Integrity Board to review and approve Treasury matching agreements. All Treasury Computer Matching Agreements are available at: [Computer Matching Programs | U.S. Department of the Treasury](#).

During the reporting period, the Data Integrity Board reviewed and approved a total of eight 12-month agreements (5 Renewals, 1 Re-establishment, 1 New, and 1 Waiver). No CMAs were denied or lapsed.

4. Privacy Compliance Reviews

Treasury conducts Privacy Compliance Reviews (PCR) to ensure that programs and technologies implement and maintain appropriate protections for personally identifiable information (PII). A PCR is a collaborative effort that helps improve a program’s ability to comply with existing privacy requirements by identifying and remediating gaps in compliance documentation, including PCLIAAs, SORNs, and formal agreements, such as memoranda of understanding and memoranda of agreement. It also includes informal, ad hoc, situational advice sought by Treasury bureaus and offices on privacy and civil liberties issues.

Treasury’s PCR effort involved continuing to analyze departmental mailing of forms, letters, and other documents containing the Social Security Number (SSN). This analysis is required by the SSN Fraud Prevention Act of 2017. Treasury remains focused on eliminating the use of SSNs whenever possible and safeguarding SSNs that must be collected and maintained because no reasonable alternative to using the SSN exists. During the reporting period, Treasury continued its Department-wide review of the mailing of full SSNs. This exercise allowed Treasury to update its list of appropriate uses of the SSN and identify SSN uses that can be eliminated. Treasury bureaus and offices are working actively to reduce the collection, use, and maintenance of SSNs during the completion of a PCLTAs and PCLIAAs.

5. Advice and Responses

Treasury provides privacy advice to its bureaus and offices throughout the year. Examples of guidance are included below:

- a) The Departmental Offices provided the following advice and recommendations in compliance with the [Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a](#), and the operational and privacy-specific safeguards outlined in the NIST SP 800-122, Guide to Protecting the Confidentiality of Personally Identifiable Information (PII):
- **Advice given on Department of the Treasury, Departmental Offices .413 – Outbound Investment Security Program Notification System.** In accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a, as amended (the Privacy Act), the Department of the Treasury, Departmental Offices proposes to establish a new system of records entitled “Department of the Treasury, Departmental Offices .413 – Outbound Investment Security Program Notification System” for information collected in connection with the implementation of Executive Order 14105 of August 9, 2023, 88 FR 54867 (the Outbound Order). The new system of records will support Treasury’s collection of information in the performance of its analysis of transactions involving a person of a country of concern that is engaged in certain activities involving covered national security technologies and products that may contribute to the threat to the national security of the United States as identified under the Outbound Order, and for other purposes associated with its national security functions. The system may include records from other systems of records both within Treasury and in records provided by certain other Executive Branch agencies. Any records from another Treasury system of records or another Executive Branch agency’s system of records for which an exemption is claimed under 5 U.S.C. 552a(j) or (k) that may also be included in this system of records retain the same exempt status as such records have in the system for which such exemption is claimed. Treasury will consult with the Department of Commerce and, as appropriate, certain other Executive Branch agencies on analysis of transactions consistent with the Outbound Order. Records will be protected according to applicable rules and policies with system access being limited to individuals who need to know the information for the performance of their official duties.

After an initial review of the SORN, the Office of Privacy, Transparency & Records suggested edits as necessary.

- **Advice given on the use of Social Security Numbers -** Treasury must only collect full Social Security numbers (SSNs) when relevant and necessary to accomplish a legally authorized purpose related to a Treasury mission. In the absence of another compelling justification for the use of the full SSN (approved by the relevant component Head and the Departmental Senior Agency Official for Privacy), Treasury must only collect and maintain full SSNs:
 - (1) As a unique identifier for identity verification purposes related to cyber security, law enforcement, intelligence, and/or security background investigations,
 - (2) When required by external entities to perform a function for or on behalf of Treasury,
 - (3) When collection is expressly required by statute or regulation,

- (4) For statistical and other research purposes,
- (5) To ensure the delivery of government benefits, privileges, and services, and
- (6) When there are no reasonable, alternative means for meeting business requirements.

6. Privacy Complaints and Dispositions

For purposes of Section 803 reporting, complaints are written allegations of harm or violation of privacy and civil liberties compliance requirements filed with Treasury's privacy and civil liberties programs (or the Office of General Counsel). The categories of complaints reflected in Appendix A are aligned with the categories detailed in the OMB Memorandum 17-26, Reducing Burden for Federal Agencies by Rescinding and Modifying OMB Memoranda. U.S. citizens, lawful permanent residents, visitors, and aliens may submit complaints.

7. Conclusions

As required by the 9/11 Commission Act, and in accordance with the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, Pub. L. No. 113-126 (July 7, 2014), this annual report summarizes Treasury's privacy activities from April 1, 2024, through September 30, 2024. Treasury will continue to work with the Congress, colleagues in other Federal departments and agencies, and the public to protect privacy in all its efforts.

SECTION 3: DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY FY2024 DATA MINING REPORTING ACT OF 2007 ANNUAL REPORT

The Role of the Treasury Chief Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer (CPCLO)

The Department of the Treasury (“Treasury” or the “Department”) is providing this report to Congress pursuant to Section 803 of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007 (9/11 Commission Act), entitled the Federal Agency Data Mining Reporting Act of 2007 (“Data Mining Reporting Act” or “the Act”). This report discusses activities currently deployed or under development in the Department that meet the Data Mining Reporting Act’s definition of data mining. The report also provides the information the Act requires with respect to each data mining activity.

Definitions

- (1) DATA MINING. The term “data mining” means a program involving pattern-based queries, searches, or other analyses of one or more electronic databases, where:
 - a. a department or agency of the Federal Government, or a non-Federal entity acting on behalf of the Federal Government, is conducting the queries, searches, or other analyses to discover or locate a predictive pattern or anomaly indicative of terrorist or criminal activity on the part of any individual or individuals,
 - b. the queries, searches, or other analyses are not subject-based and do not use personal identifiers of a specific individual, or inputs associated with a specific individual or group of individuals, to retrieve information from the database or databases, and
 - c. the purpose of the queries, searches, or other analyses is not solely—
 - (i) the detection of fraud, waste, or abuse in a Government agency or program; or
 - (ii) the security of a Government computer system.
- (2) DATABASE. The term “database” does not include telephone directories, news reporting, information that is publicly available to any member of the public without payment of a fee, or databases of judicial and administrative opinions or other legal research sources.⁸

Three Treasury bureaus maintain systems using applications that meet the definition of data mining: the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN), the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau (TTB). These systems were discussed in previous Treasury data mining reports and

⁸ 42 U.S.C § 2000ee-3(b)(1). “[T]elephone directories, news reporting, information publicly available to any member of the public without payment of a fee, or databases of judicial and administrative opinions or other legal research sources” are not “databases” under the Act. § 2000ee- 3(b)

can be found on [Privacy Reports | U.S. Department of the Treasury](#).

FINCEN's FY 2024 DATA MINING REPORT

The Federal Agency Data Mining Reporting Act of 2007 requires the head of each Department or agency of the Federal Government that is engaged in any activity that uses or develops data mining to submit a report to Congress on all pattern-based data mining activities. Each report must include the following information for each activity where data mining is in use or in development:

A. A thorough description of the data mining activity, its goals, and, where appropriate, the target dates for the deployment of the data mining activity.

The mission of the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) is to safeguard the financial system from illicit use, combat money laundering, and promote national security through the strategic use of financial authorities and the collection, analysis, and dissemination of financial intelligence. To accomplish its mission, FinCEN provides financial intelligence, data stewardship, and support for law enforcement, the intelligence community, and our foreign financial intelligence unit (FIU) partners. FinCEN also engages in the detection of trends and typologies of money laundering and terrorist financing.

In furtherance of this goal, as set forth in 31 U.S.C. § 310, FinCEN is required to maintain a government wide data access service with a range of financial transaction information; to conduct analysis and dissemination of information in support of law enforcement at the federal, state, local, Tribal, and foreign levels; to identify emerging trends and methods in money laundering and other financial crimes; to serve as the FIU of the United States; and to carry out other delegated regulatory responsibilities. FinCEN works to achieve its mission while avoiding the collection and indexing of information on persons exercising their constitutional rights and civil liberties.

FinCEN's analysts use various data analysis techniques for generating leads on subjects or institutions whose activities warrant outreach, investigation, or other statutorily mandated activities.

FinCEN has successfully developed algorithms to identify transactions associated with multiple types of illicit financial activity, including money laundering, terrorist financing, and cybercrime. FinCEN also uses algorithms to examine filing patterns across financial sectors. This analysis supports a broad range of objectives from the identification of trends and patterns of illicit financial activity to the detection of institutions that may require additional regulatory oversight.

FinCEN continues to develop and expand the use of automated business rules to surface high value reports of illicit financial activity. The term "business rule" refers to automated queries or algorithms designed to screen incoming Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) filings against established criteria to identify high priority filings likely to require further review or analysis. FinCEN reviewed rule findings internally and distributed to external stakeholders, such as

domestic law enforcement and foreign FIU partners. FinCEN's business rules play a vital role in the identification and dissemination of timely financial intelligence to combat threats such as terrorist financing, money laundering, cyber threats, and other illicit financial activity.

B. A thorough description of the data mining technology that is being used or will be used, including the basis for determining whether a particular pattern or anomaly is indicative of terrorist or criminal activity.

FinCEN leverages two principal methods for deriving information relevant to illicit financial activity from the BSA data. The first is content driven, that is, searching for specific subject names, or term combinations used in reporting that are associated with various types of illicit financial activity. The second method is pattern driven and can take various forms. FinCEN may derive patterns from searches for a particular type of subject in the data. FinCEN then identifies subjects that fit that same pattern and have certain filing profiles. Matching filing patterns across different types of BSA reports highlights anomalous behavior that leads to the identification of subjects for potential investigation.

For content driven data analysis, FinCEN staff use a web-based application called FinCEN Query. The application provides analysts with the capability to search for specific entity names and term combinations across all of FinCEN's records. For pattern driven analysis, staff use commercial off-the-shelf (COTS), open-source, and custom developed tools with capabilities including statistical, social network, and geospatial analysis, data modelling and visualization, and text analytics that aid in the analysis of BSA data. These tools enable analysts to deconstruct, manipulate, and flexibly analyze large volumes of data in order to discover underlying patterns of behavior involving potential illicit entities or networks.

C. A thorough description of the data sources that are being or will be used.

The data that is collected under FinCEN's BSA authorities ("BSA data") form the underlying data for FinCEN's manual and automated search methods and trend analysis activities.

To accomplish its mission and give context to the data FinCEN extracts from its BSA database, FinCEN also considers other information available to it through a variety of sources, including open-source material, law enforcement information, other government information, and information obtained through subscription services. FinCEN uses this information to support or amplify conclusions or hypotheses derived from the analysis of BSA data. For example, commercially available databases are used to support or further identify information and to aid in the identification of potential illicit activity based on suspicious trends, patterns, or methods. FinCEN's trend analysis may also use public source information, commercial database information, and third-party data sources, such as Census Bureau, Social Security Administration⁹, and Office of Foreign Assets Control data.

⁹ The Death Master File is Social Security Administration (SSA) information used by medical researchers, hospitals, medical programs, and law enforcement agencies and other government agencies to verify a person's death and to prevent fraud. Although it is SSA information, the National Technical Information Service in the Department of Commerce maintains the database. For more information, please visit the [NTIS website](#).

D. An assessment of the efficacy or likely efficacy of the data mining activity in providing accurate information consistent with and valuable to the stated goals and plans for the use or development of the data mining activity.

FinCEN provides strategic and tactical products for several stakeholders: law enforcement, foreign FIU partners, financial regulators, the financial industry, and the general public. Each of these stakeholders has different restrictions or guidelines under which FinCEN can provide BSA data or BSA data derived analysis.

In FY 2024, FinCEN used BSA data to advance its investigations under Section 311 of the USA PATRIOT Act, further map out a range of illicit finance networks, and respond to specific requests for information from both law enforcement and intelligence community partners. FinCEN also used BSA data to identify potential BSA violations or programmatic deficiencies in furtherance or support of FinCEN examinations or enforcement investigations.

For domestic and foreign law enforcement partners, FinCEN provides high value data analytics. For example, FinCEN has implemented a series of cybercrime-related business rules to assist in the identification of emerging cyber threats such as ransomware and business email compromise. FinCEN leverages business rules to actively monitor the volume of reported cyber threats, evaluate the potential risk these threats pose to financial institutions, and identify opportunities to increase threat preparedness. FinCEN has successfully leveraged cyber-related rules to track cyber criminals and develop financial intelligence products for law enforcement, identify the use of specialized malware associated with large-scale breaches and targeted attacks on payment systems, as well as review reporting of malware signatures and cyber intrusions affecting financial institutions.

FinCEN annually receives the results of surveys of its Egmont Group counterparts and domestic law enforcement agencies regarding the utility of its analytical products. These survey results consistently reflect positive feedback from our foreign and domestic stakeholders. FinCEN also receives feedback on individual reports from law enforcement and regulatory agencies on our efforts to combat terrorist financing, money laundering, and fraud against the U.S. government to include healthcare fraud and income tax fraud.

FinCEN narrowly tailors its business rules to achieve its mission, and each rule is developed, tested, implemented, and re-tested for efficacy throughout its deployment. The Technology Division is engaged during the development of all business rules and the Office of Chief Counsel is engaged throughout the rule certification and annual recertification process. FinCEN continues to receive strong positive feedback both from our domestic and foreign partners on the value of the financial intelligence derived from our business rules program.

E. An assessment of the impact or likely impact of the implementation of the data mining activity on the privacy and civil liberties of individuals, including a thorough description of the actions that are being taken or will be taken with regard to the property, privacy, or other rights or privileges of any individual or

individuals as a result of the implementation of the data mining activity.

The impact of FinCEN’s congressionally mandated mission on the privacy and civil liberties of individuals has been and will continue to be minimal. The Supreme Court has determined that financial information banks and other financial institutions hold—and that FinCEN collects and analyzes pursuant to its authority in 31 U.S.C. § 310 and the BSA (discussed in more detail in item (F) below)—carries no constitutionally protected expectation of privacy.¹⁰ The Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978¹¹ expressly provides no protection for financial records or information required to be reported in accordance with any federal statute or regulation, which includes information contained in BSA reports.¹² Nevertheless, during the development of all business rules, analytical models, and algorithms, FinCEN considers whether the analytics will adversely affect an individual or entity’s (to the extent applicable) privacy, civil rights, or civil liberties.

Significantly, FinCEN takes no adverse actions against individuals based solely on the existence of, or information contained in BSA data. A BSA report usually requires other data for there to be evidence of criminal activity. Therefore, in addition to considering it along with other information when taking actions under its own authorities, FinCEN provides the data, or analytical products analyzing the data, to outside agencies where the information may be relevant to current or potential investigations or proceedings under the jurisdiction of those agencies.

The collected information is generally subject to the Privacy Act of 1974,¹³ discussed in more detail under item (F) below. FinCEN has developed policies and procedures to ensure that: (1) the analyzed information is used for purposes authorized by applicable law; and (2) the security of the information is adequately maintained. Analytical products produced by FinCEN are subject to clear restrictions regarding use and further dissemination of the products to ensure that the products will only be used by appropriate agencies for statutorily authorized purposes. To the extent such products reference information collected pursuant to the BSA, FinCEN has issued guidelines requiring user agencies to attach warning language to such products and to follow specific procedures for further dissemination of the BSA information. These procedures aim to ensure that: (1) only appropriate agencies will have access to the information; (2) the information will be used for statutorily authorized purposes; (3) agencies with access to FinCEN data are aware of the sensitivity of the material; and (4) FinCEN will be able to track which agencies have such materials in their possession.

FinCEN posts Privacy Impact Assessments (PIA) on its public website, which informs the public of FinCEN’s activities and practices related to the collection, processing, retention, and distribution of personally identifiable information (PII).¹⁴ The PII that FinCEN data

¹⁰ *United States v. Miller*, 425 U.S. 435, 442 (1976).

¹¹ 12 U.S.C. § 3401, *et seq.*

¹² 12 U.S.C. § 3413(d) (“Disclosure pursuant to Federal statute or rule promulgated thereunder nothing in this chapter shall authorize the withholding of financial records or information required to be reported in accordance with any Federal statute or rule promulgated thereunder.”)

¹³ 5 U.S.C. § 552a.

¹⁴ For more information about FinCEN PIAs, please visit [FinCEN’s website](#).

repositories handle is necessary to assist regulators and law enforcement in identifying and monitoring the financial activities of individuals who are potentially committing financial crimes.

F. A list and analysis of the laws and regulations that govern the information being or to be collected, reviewed, gathered, analyzed, or used in conjunction with the data mining activity to the extent applicable in the context of the data mining activity.

The Bank Secrecy Act, 31 U.S.C. § 5311, et seq. (BSA) and implementing regulations, 31 C.F.R. Chapter X, et seq:

- **31 U.S.C. § 5311**— Declaration of Purpose
As amended in 2021, this section specifies that the purpose of the recordkeeping and reporting requirements in the BSA is to require certain reports and records that are highly useful in, for example, criminal, tax, or regulatory investigations, risk assessments, or proceedings, the conduct of intelligence or counterintelligence activities, including analysis, to protect against terrorism, the protection of the U.S. financial system from money laundering, terrorist financing, and other criminal activity, and the safeguarding of the national security of the United States.
- **31 C.F.R. § 1010.301** — Determination by the Secretary
This regulation reflects the Secretary of the Treasury’s determination that the reports collected pursuant to the BSA have a “high degree of usefulness,” in criminal, tax, or regulatory investigations or proceedings.
- **31 U.S.C. § 5319** — Availability of Reports
This section provides that, upon request, the Secretary of the Treasury (as delegated to FinCEN pursuant to Treasury Order 180-01) shall provide BSA information to an agency, including state financial institutions supervisory agencies, United States intelligence agencies, or self-regulatory organizations registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, for purposes consistent with the subsection. This section also provides that reports collected pursuant to the BSA are exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552.
- **31 C.F.R. § 1010.950** — Availability of Information
This section authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury to disclose BSA information for any reason consistent with the purposes of the BSA and specifies that the recipients are to receive the information in confidence and shall not be further disclosed to any person except for official purposes relating to the investigation, proceeding or matter in connection with which the information is sought.
- **31 U.S.C. § 5313** — Reports on domestic coins and currency transactions
This section provides for the reporting by financial institutions of certain currency transactions in an amount, denomination, or amount and denomination, or under circumstances the Secretary of the Treasury (as delegated to FinCEN) prescribes by regulation.

- **31 C.F.R. §§ 1010.311; 1021.311** — Reports of transactions in currency
These regulations implement the reporting requirement of 31 U.S.C. § 5313 and specify the amount of reportable transactions in currency at more than \$10,000.
- **31 U.S.C. § 5316** — Reports on exporting and importing monetary instruments
This section requires reports by those that transport currency or other monetary instruments of more than \$10,000 at one time from or through a place outside the United States into the United States, or from the United States to or through a place outside the United States.
- **31 C.F.R. § 1010.340** — Reports of transportation of currency or monetary instruments
This regulation implements the reporting requirement of 31 U.S.C. § 5316 with respect to currency or other monetary instruments of more than \$10,000 physically transported, mailed, or shipped into the United States or physically transported, mailed, or shipped outside the United States.
- **31 U.S.C. § 5314** — Records and reports on foreign financial agency transactions
This section authorizes the Secretary (as delegated to FinCEN) to prescribe regulations requiring the reporting of certain types of foreign transactions and relationships with foreign financial institutions.
- **31 C.F.R. § 1010.350** — Reports of foreign financial accounts
This regulation, implementing 31 U.S.C. § 5314, requires that U.S. persons file reports of foreign bank accounts.
- **31 C.F.R. § 1010.360** – Reports of transactions with foreign financial agencies
This regulation provides that the Secretary (as delegated to FinCEN) may promulgate regulations requiring specified financial institutions to file reports of certain transactions with designated foreign financial agencies. These regulations may be kept confidential, and do not always have to be published in the Federal Register, so long as any financial institutions subject to the regulation will be named and personally served or otherwise given actual notice.
- **31 U.S.C. § 5318(g)** — Reporting of suspicious transactions
This section authorizes the Secretary (as delegated to FinCEN), to require the reporting of suspicious transactions relevant to a possible violation of law or regulation. The section also provides for the confidentiality of such reports, barring financial institutions from notifying anyone involved in the transaction that the transaction has been reported. Government employees are subject to the same confidentiality restrictions, except as “necessary to fulfill the official duties” of such employees. The policies and procedures detailed above in response to item (E) are aimed, in large part, at maintaining the confidentiality of these reports.
- **31 C.F.R. §§ 1010.320; 1020.320; 1021.320; 1022.320; 1023.320; 1024.320; 1025.320; 1026.320**— Reports of Suspicious Transactions
These regulations implement 31 U.S.C. § 5318(g), requiring covered financial institutions to file suspicious activity reports and requiring the maintaining of strict confidentiality of the reports.

- **31 U.S.C. § 5331** — Reports relating to coins and currency received in nonfinancial trade or business
This section provides for the reporting of currency transactions of more than \$10,000 by businesses other than financial institutions.
- **31 C.F.R. § 1010.330** — Reports related to currency in excess of \$10,000 received in a trade or business

This regulation implements 31 U.S.C. § 5331.

- **12 U.S.C. § 1829b(b)(3) – International Funds Transfer Reporting Requirements**
This section states that the Secretary and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System shall jointly prescribe, after consultation with State banking supervisors, final regulations requiring that insured depository institutions, businesses that provide check cashing services, money transmitting businesses, and businesses that issue or redeem money orders, travelers’ checks or other similar instruments maintain records of payment orders which involve international transactions; and direct transfers of funds over wholesale funds transfer systems or on the books of any insured depository institution, or on the books of any business that provides check cashing services, any money transmitting business, and any business that issues or redeems money orders, travelers’ checks or similar instruments, that will have a high degree of usefulness in criminal, tax, or regulatory investigations or proceedings.
- **31 CFR § 1020.410(a) – Records to be made and retained by banks**
This regulation implements 12 U.S.C. § 1829b(b)(3), and requires each bank covered by the regulation to retain records of funds transfers in the amount of \$3,000 or more.
- **31 U.S.C. § 5318A – Special measures for jurisdictions, financial institutions, international transactions, or types of accounts of primary money laundering concern**

The Secretary of the Treasury (as delegated to FinCEN) may require any domestic financial institution or financial agency to maintain specified records and file reports upon a finding that a jurisdiction outside of the United States, one or more financial institutions operating outside of the United States, one or more classes of transactions within, or involving, a jurisdiction outside of the United States, or one or more types of accounts is of primary money laundering concern, the Secretary of the Treasury (as delegated to FinCEN) may require any domestic financial institution or financial agency to maintain records, file reports, or both, concerning the aggregate amount of transactions, or concerning each transaction, with respect to the entity found to be of primary money laundering concern; beneficial ownership of any account opened or maintained in the United States by a foreign person or a representative of that foreign person that involves the entity found to be of primary money laundering concern; or information relating to certain correspondent accounts.

- **Section 314a of the USA PATRIOT Act – Cooperative Efforts to Deter Money Laundering**

This section (located in the Notes to 31 U.S.C. § 5311) helps law enforcement identify, disrupt, and prevent terrorist acts and money laundering activities by encouraging further cooperation among law enforcement, regulators, and financial institutions to share information regarding those suspected of being involved in terrorism or money laundering.

- **31 CFR § 1010.520** – Information sharing between government agencies and financial institutions
This regulation implements Section 314a of the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 and provides that a law enforcement agency investigating terrorist activity or money laundering may request that FinCEN solicit, on the investigating agency’s behalf, certain information from a financial institutions or group of financial institutions. The requesting agency must provide a written certification that each entity for which the agency is seeking information is engaged in or is reasonably suspected based on credible evidence of engaging in, terrorist activity or money laundering along with specific identifies. FinCEN may also solicit, on its own behalf, and on behalf of appropriate components of the Department of the Treasury such information.
- **The Privacy Act of 1974 (Privacy Act), 5 U.S.C. § 552a**
The reports that FinCEN collects pursuant to the BSA are “records” contained in a “system of records,”¹⁵ and are covered by the Privacy Act. Covered records may be disclosed without the permission of the individual to whom the record pertains if they are disclosed to individuals and organizations external to the U.S. Department of the Treasury pursuant to a “routine use,”¹⁶ and are disclosed for purposes for which the information was collected. FinCEN’s routine uses are included in four published Systems of Records Notices (SORNs):
 - (1) [Treasury/FinCEN .001, *FinCEN Investigations and Examinations System*](#),¹⁷
 - (2) [Treasury/FinCEN .002, *Suspicious Activity Report \(SAR\) System*](#),¹⁸ and
 - (3) [Treasury/FinCEN .003, *Bank Secrecy Act \(BSA\) Reports System*](#),¹⁹
 - (4) [Treasury/FinCEN .004, *Beneficial Ownership Information System*](#).²⁰

FinCEN followed Privacy Act procedures (including appropriate public notice and comment periods) to exempt certain records maintained in the SARs and BSA systems of records from specific provisions of the Privacy Act, including those allowing for subject’s access to the reports, notification to the subject when reports are shared, requests for correction of the

¹⁵ 5 U.S.C. § 552a(a)(3) (defining a “record” to mean any item, collection, or grouping of information about an individual that is maintained by an agency, including, but not limited to, his education, financial transactions, medical history, and criminal or employment history and that contains his name, or the identifying number, symbol, or other identifying particular assigned to the individual, such as a finger or voice print or a photograph and a “system of records” to mean a group of any records under the control of any agency from which information is retrieved by the name of the individual or by some identifying number, symbol, or other identifying particular assigned to the individual);

¹⁶ 5 U.S.C. § 552a(b)(3).

¹⁷ 79 Fed. Reg. 20969 (April 14, 2014).

¹⁸ *Id.* at 20972.

¹⁹ *Id.* at 20974

²⁰ 88 Fed. Reg. 62889 (September 13, 2023)

contents of such reports by the subject, and the civil remedies covering these areas. These exemptions prevent individuals who are planning crimes from avoiding detection or apprehension or structuring their operations to avoid detection or apprehension.

Other Relevant Provisions

31 U.S.C. § 310 — Financial Crimes Enforcement Network

This section establishes FinCEN as a bureau in the Department of the Treasury, sets out the duties and powers of the Director, and empowers the Director to administer the BSA to the extent delegated by the Secretary of the Treasury.²¹ This section also requires FinCEN to maintain a “government-wide data access service” for the information collected under the BSA, as well as records and data maintained by other government agencies and other publicly and privately available information.²²

FinCEN is required to “analyze and disseminate” the data for a broad range of purposes consistent with the law.²³ These purposes include identifying possible criminal activity; supporting domestic and international criminal investigations (and related civil proceedings); determining emerging trends and methods in money laundering and other financial crimes; supporting the conduct of intelligence and counterintelligence activities, including analysis, to protect against international terrorism; and supporting government initiatives against money laundering.

The section further requires that FinCEN furnish research, analytical, and informational services to financial institutions and domestic and foreign law enforcement agencies for the “detection, prevention, and prosecution of terrorism, organized crime, money laundering and other financial crimes,” and provide, “computer and data support and data analysis to the Secretary of the Treasury for tracking and controlling foreign assets²⁴.” The section also provides for the establishment of standards for making the information available through efficient means, and to screen appropriate users and appropriate uses²⁵. The activities and procedures described in this report adhere to the requirements of this statute.

G. A thorough discussion of the policies, procedures, and guidelines that are in place or that are to be developed and applied in the use of such data mining activity in order to:

(i) protect the privacy and due process rights of individuals, such as redress procedures.

A description of the policies, procedures, and guidance in place to ensure the privacy and due process rights of individuals that are the subject of FinCEN data mining activities is provided in subsection (E) above.

(ii) ensure that only accurate and complete information is collected, reviewed, gathered, analyzed, or used, and guard against any harmful consequences of potential inaccuracies.

FinCEN, through its data perfection procedures, ensures that information contained in the database of BSA reports is accurate and complete. In addition, as discussed in item (E)

²¹ Treasury Order 180-01, *Financial Crimes Enforcement Network* (July 1, 2014) (delegating to the Director of FinCEN various duties and responsibilities, including the authority to administer, implement, and enforce the BSA)

²² 31 U.S.C. § 310(b)(2)(B).

²³ *Id.* at § 310(b)(2)(C)(i)-(vii).

²⁴ *Id.* at § 310(b)(2)(E), (G).

²⁵ *Id.* at § 310(c)(1) and (c)(2).

above, FinCEN does not take adverse actions against individuals (outside the context of enforcing the requirements of the BSA itself) based on the information contained in BSA reports. In addition, because user agencies only use BSA information in conjunction with other evidence, a BSA report in itself is not used as the sole basis for adverse actions by user agencies.

As noted earlier in this report, individuals have no constitutionally protected “expectation of privacy” in FinCEN’s BSA data and FinCEN takes no adverse actions against individuals based on the BSA data collected. Nevertheless, FinCEN’s BSA analyst training discusses the importance of confidentiality, safeguarding and non-disclosure of BSA data to unauthorized individuals or organizations. Additionally, all FinCEN staff are required to complete Privacy Awareness training annually that includes an explanation of the staff’s privacy responsibilities, including the Privacy Act handling and safeguarding responsibilities that apply to all BSA data. Accountability for the security and confidentiality of the BSA data and its handling are prominently articulated in all course materials. FinCEN also has mandatory training for its BSA data users that includes secure handling and safeguarding of the information. FinCEN provides online training for all external users as a requirement for access to FinCEN Query. Biennially, at a minimum, BSA data users must complete training as a requirement of continued system access. In addition to this online training, FinCEN hosts webinars as requested.

ALCOHOL AND TOBACCO TAX AND TRADE BUREAU (TTB) FY 2024 DATA MINING REPORT

TTB Data Mining Activities

- A. *A thorough description of the data mining activity, its goals, and, where appropriate, the target dates for the deployment of the data mining activity.*

TTB performs two types of activities that together qualify as data mining as defined by the Federal Agency Data Mining Reporting Act of 2007:

Queries of commercial transactions recorded by tax and trade databases maintained by TTB and other Federal agencies; and
Searches of commercial and law enforcement databases to discover criminal activity.

The data is gathered through queries of registered individuals or businesses, and include some queries that are solely based on subject matter (for example, queries of all tobacco products imported over a given time period). TTB conducts these activities for the purpose of compiling business intelligence reports and as part of a strategic risk-based approach to effectuate TTB's mission to collect the revenue and to ensure only qualified businesses enter the alcohol and tobacco industries. Through data-driven analysis, TTB focuses on identifying the highest risk activity for further TTB action, including research, audits, and investigations. Continuous refinements to these data analytic reports combined with sound intelligence enable TTB to efficiently deploy its resources to address the most serious revenue threats or to prevent persons likely to engage in illicit activity from commencing operations.

- B. *A thorough description of the data mining technology that is being used or will be used, including the basis for determining whether a particular pattern or anomaly is indicative of terrorist or criminal activity.*

TTB uses commercially available data mining technologies to access and analyze information. The experience of TTB field and specialist staff determines whether a particular pattern or anomaly is indicative of violations that may be subject to further review and investigation.

Most data mining is conducted with a combination of statistical analysis software (SAS) and Oracle relational database systems. Data are retrieved with SAS data step programming and/or Structured Query Language (SQL) queries. Data fields are transformed with procedures that aggregate, correlate, cluster, and otherwise simplify available variables. Once data is collected and transformed, TTB develops reports or dashboards in Tableau that consolidate specific factors along with business rules that flag entities needing additional research. This additional research may serve as the basis of referral for further TTB action.

- C. *A thorough description of the data sources that are being or will be used.*

TTB uses data from its own databases, the databases of other Federal agencies, and commercial data providers. The data sources include:

Internal Data:

- Integrated Revenue Information System (IRIS) – tax data submitted by TTB industry members;

- Permits Online (PONL) – application data from businesses requesting a TTB permit;
- AutoAudit and Case Management System – data from TTB’s audits and investigations;
- Formulas Online (FONL) – data from businesses submitting formula approval requests; and
- Certification/Exemption of Label/Bottle Approvals (COLAS) Online – data from businesses submitting labels for approval.

External Data:

- Automated Commercial Environment-International Trade Data System (ACE-ITDS) - data administered by U.S. Customs and Border Protection regarding imports of products regulated by TTB;
- LexisNexis Accurint – a commercial database containing records of court proceedings, including some criminal cases, property holdings, and bankruptcies; and
- Standard & Poor’s Capital IQ – commercial database containing company profiles, including ownership and financial information.

D. *An assessment of the efficacy or likely efficacy of the data mining activity in providing accurate information consistent with and valuable to the stated goals and plans for the use or development of the data mining activity*

TTB’s data mining activity automates certain routine screening and monitoring processes to improve permit application reviews and detection of tax compliance violations. Other business intelligence reports and dashboards automatically consolidate data and monitor operations, tax payments, and import activity patterns. These reports facilitate TTB oversight of a wider selection of its regulated industries and serve as the source of referrals for enforcement cases. In FY24, TTB had a 62% success rate of enforcement cases initiated using analytics. Cases identified through analytics were 72% more likely to be successful as compared to those initiated through traditional methods, such as referrals or complaints.

E. *An assessment of the impact or likely impact of the implementation of the data mining activity on the privacy and civil liberties of individuals, including a thorough description of the actions that are being taken or will be taken with regard to the property, privacy, or other rights or privileges of any individual or individuals as a result of the implementation of the data mining activity.*

TTB’s data mining activity has little impact on the privacy and civil liberties of individuals. Insights gained from the activity primarily result in actions against property, or the privilege to operate in regulated industries, after thorough review by experienced specialists and/or enforcement personnel with oversight authorities mandated by federal laws and regulations. The data sources mined are also limited to include only tax records, regulatory records, commercial records, and law enforcement records authorized for use in oversight and enforcement.

Any data concerning individuals or businesses are vigorously protected against unauthorized use and disclosure. Policies and procedures prohibit the search of any database for reasons other than providing authorized oversight or enforcement. In cases when patterns in data are thought to be indicative of compliance issues, the data and circumstances are carefully reviewed by experienced staff before any adverse action is taken. TTB also continues to protect data against any unauthorized disclosure through all investigation and enforcement actions.

Data gathered in data mining activities is considered private and confidential and 26 U.S.C. § 6103 protects it from disclosure. TTB handles this data consistent with that statute. Privacy protections are

further assured by additional laws that provide for civil and criminal penalties for any unauthorized disclosure of taxpayer data. There are criminal penalties including: (1) felony for the willful unauthorized disclosure of tax information; (2) misdemeanor for the unauthorized inspection of tax information; and (3) civil cause of action for the taxpayer whose information has been inspected or disclosed in a manner not authorized.

F. ***A list and analysis of the laws and regulations that govern the information being or to be collected, reviewed, gathered, analyzed, or used in conjunction with the data mining activity to the extent applicable in the context of the data mining activity***

TTB administers the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) relating to distilled spirits, wine, and beer (26 U.S.C. Chapter 51), tobacco (26 U.S.C. Chapter 52), firearms and ammunition excise taxes (26 U.S.C. § 4181, 4182, and related portions of chapter 32), and the general rules of tax procedure with respect to these commodities (including related criminal provisions at 26 U.S.C. Chapters 68 and 75). In addition, TTB administers the Federal Alcohol Administration Act (27 U.S.C. chapter 8, subchapter I), which covers basic permits, unfair trade practices, and labeling and advertising of alcohol beverages; the Alcoholic Beverage Labeling Act of 1988 (27 U.S.C. chapter 8, subchapter II), which requires a specific “Government Warning” statement on alcohol beverage labels; and the Webb-Kenyon Act (27 U.S.C. §§ 122-122b), which prohibits the shipment of liquor into a state in violation of state law.

The IRC establishes qualification criteria to engage in the businesses relating to manufacturing and importing or exporting tobacco products, and manufacturing or importing processed tobacco, and require that persons obtain permits to engage in these activities. See 26 U.S.C. § 5713. A permit qualification or notice requirement also applies to the production of distilled spirits, wine and beer, as well as to the wholesaling and importation of all beverage alcohol products. See 26 U.S.C. §§ 5171(c) and (d), 5271 and 5401; see also 27 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq.

The Secretary of the Treasury originally retained the authority to collect excise taxes on imported alcohol and tobacco products through the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (See 6 U.S.C. §§ 212 and 215). Through Treasury Order 100–16, the Secretary of the Treasury delegates authority over “Customs revenue functions” to the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security. The Homeland Security Act of 2002 defines these functions as “assessing and collecting customs duties (including antidumping and countervailing duties and duties imposed under safeguard provisions), excise taxes, fees, and penalties due on imported merchandise, including classifying and valuing merchandise for purposes of such assessment.” (6 U.S.C. § 215(a)(1)).

TTB is authorized pursuant to the Homeland Security Act of 2002, Pub. L. 107-296; Executive Order 13439, July 18, 2007; the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (IRC); and the Federal Alcohol Administration Act, 27 U.S.C. chapter 8 (FAA Act) to access data within Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data systems necessary to fulfill its statutory mission. TTB works with CBP to fulfill its statutory mission as it relates to imported products subject to various taxes. Cooperative efforts across federal agency lines will accommodate the collection of data as it relates to imported commodities subject to federal taxes, including but not limited to retail, excise, manufacturers, and environmental taxes.

When the data analyzed by the reports consists of taxpayer information, 26 U.S.C. § 6103 governs the use of all tax-related data. Subsection (a) sets out the general rule of confidentiality. Subsection (b) sets forth definitions of terms commonly used throughout Section 6103. Subsections (c) through (o) of Section 6103 contain exceptions to the general rule of confidentiality. The use of confidential commercial, financial, or trade secrets information is governed by the Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. §

1905, which prohibits the unlawful disclosure of this information by any federal official, employee, or contractor.

G. A thorough discussion of the policies, procedures, and guidelines that are in place or that are to be developed and applied in the use of such data mining activity in order to:

(i) protect the privacy and due process rights of individuals, such as redress procedures.

All of TTB's information collections are subject to the OMB review process and any forms that request personal information subject to the Privacy Act include a Privacy Act Statement. In addition, TTB's privacy policy is posted on TTB's website and is referenced on TTB's online applications. TTB's systems of record notice can be found in the Federal Register at 80 F.R. 4637 (January 28, 2015).

TTB data mining activities do not determine whether a person or entity will be subject to administrative enforcement action or criminal prosecution. Any audit or investigation that is initiated based, in part, upon data from the activities are governed by the laws, administrative procedures, policies, and controls that govern criminal investigations or any other ensuing actions.

Information generated and accessed by the data mining activities is protected by internal controls that limit access to persons whose official duties require inspection of such information for tax administration purposes. The information is further protected by 26 U.S.C. § 6103, governing the confidentiality of returns and return information, and the Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1905, which protects confidential commercial, financial, or trade secrets information collected by the federal government.

TTB notifies system operators of the requirements and legal consequences of accessing predictive models and/or analytic reports. The message states: 26 U.S.C. § 6103 Data Warning. Information contained in this report is tax return information protected from disclosure by 26 U.S.C. § 6103. By accessing this report, you hereby certify that your official duties require you to inspect such information for tax administration purposes.

Users of analytic reports receive demonstrations of reports, dashboards, user guides and/or product descriptions, as needed. TTB employees receive 26 U.S.C. § 6103 and disclosure training. In addition, all TTB employees complete the annual Privacy Awareness and Cyber Security Awareness training. Finally, system owners, product owners, IT staff and contractors supporting the development, maintenance, security, and operations of IT systems are required to take additional specialized security training each year.

(ii) ensure that only accurate and complete information is collected, reviewed, gathered, analyzed, or used, and guard against any harmful consequences of potential inaccuracies.

TTB has programs and processes in place to ensure its decisions are made using accurate data and based upon good quality procedures.

TTB documents and manages all data sets associated with its systems using the TTB Systems Development Life Cycle (SDLC). This process includes the identification and correction of data inconsistencies with system owners. These data corrections conform to Office of the Chief Information Officer (OCIO) Data Management best practices and standards to ensure they are documented, authorized, tested, and quality checked before and after execution.

In addition to the SDLC, TTB's Data Governance Program prescribes the standards, roles, responsibilities, and processes over data quality. TTB formally assesses the quality of data in key business areas, identifies priorities in resolving data quality issues, and has dedicated teams to resolve them. These teams include technical and subject matter experts who perform root cause analysis, provide process and/or system fixes, and cleanse relevant records. TTB also uses a tool that consolidates all known data quality issues and monitors the effectiveness of its efforts to improve data quality.

TTB's Memorandum of Understanding with CBP contains language that both parties will notify one another if either agency discovers data issues.

TTB does not rely solely on information gathered to take any adverse action against any individual or entity. Rather, the reports are the first step in gathering data and this information is verified through subsequent research, and investigation and/or audits of companies before any action is taken.

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS) FY 2024 DATA MINING REPORT

A. A thorough description of the data mining activity, its goals, and, where appropriate, the target dates for the deployment of the data mining activity.

Four divisions of the IRS are engaged in data mining activities covered by the Act: IRS Criminal Investigation organization (IRS-CI); the IRS Small Business/Self-Employed Division (SB/SE); the IRS Taxpayer Services Division (TS); and the IRS Research, Applied Analytics, and Statistics Division (RAAS). In FY 2024, each of these IRS divisions used one or more data mining applications/computing environments to search for specific characteristics that are indicators of potential criminal activity:

- Investigative Data Examination Application (IDEA) - formerly known as Investigative Data Analytics;
- Lead and Case Analytics (LCA);
- Return Review Program (RRP);
- FinCEN Query; and
- Compliance Data Warehouse (CDW)

IRS-CI is tasked with protecting IRS revenue streams by detecting fraudulent activity and preventing recurrences. In FY 2024, IRS-CI used IDEA, LCA, CDW and RRP systems to support this work. Data uncovered using these systems may be reflected in indictments and criminal prosecutions.

IDEA is a data query tool currently in use at the CI Scheme Development Centers (SDC) and field offices, and it provides CI analysts and special agents with the ability to quickly search electronic data through a single access point. By using the IDEA application, special agents and investigative analysts can proactively identify patterns indicative of illegal activities. This tool enhances investigation selection and supports investigative priorities in tax law enforcement, counterterrorism, and other high-priority criminal investigations. The IDEA application uses data for both reactive and proactive queries. Reactive queries are a result of specific, targeted investigations; proactive queries are the result of pattern matching to generate leads. Data available in the IDEA application enable users to detect suspicious financial transactions indicative of money laundering, terrorism, and other financial crimes. IDEA query results are used exclusively for generating leads. Any investigative process that results from these leads uses the corresponding data from the originating systems.

LCA is a data query and visualization application that allows CI investigative analysts and agents to query and analyze large and disparate sets of data through a single access point. This enhances the analyst's ability to develop a comprehensive picture of suspicious or criminal activity. The LCA application uses data for both reactive and proactive queries. Reactive queries are a result of specific, targeted investigations; proactive queries are the result of pattern matching to generate leads. Data available in the LCA application enable users to detect suspicious financial transactions indicative of money laundering, terrorism, and other financial crimes. The application presents information to the user visually, exposing associations between entities in the data that might otherwise remain undiscovered.

The software used to create LCA allows users from Advanced Analytics and Innovation (AAI), and field offices to create visualization diagrams, graphs, spreadsheets, reports, timelines, and maps to enhance investigation selection. It also supports investigative priorities to proactively identify and develop leads for refund fraud, identity theft, counterterrorism, money laundering, offshore abusive trust schemes, and other financial crime, as well as Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) Suspicious Activity Report (SAR) reviews and Financial Crimes Task Force activity.

IRS-CI, RAAS and TS use RRP to maximize detection of tax refund fraud, tax noncompliance, and identity theft. As RRP receives returns, it loads and assigns a risk score to each tax return based on an array of models. Scores range from 0.0 to 1.0, with a higher score indicating a greater potential for fraud/non-compliance/identity theft. In addition to models, RRP also includes multiple model scores and linking characteristics. IRS uses these analytics, including data mining, to systemically stop suspicious refunds from being automatically issued to taxpayers. In RRP, IRS-CI does not directly examine the scores, but does use returns that TS determines to be potentially fraudulent as a basis for its criminal investigations. RRP employs multiple technologies for data mining activities. Each of these technologies use current year examples of identity theft (IDT), non-IDT tax fraud, and non-fraud to develop supervised models, unsupervised models, rules, and network analytics.

IRS-CI and SB/SE users access the FinCEN Query system (see FinCEN report) as the system of record for Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) data.

CDW is an analytical computing environment managed by RAAS that is used by IRS researchers for high performance computing and advanced analytics. It simplifies access to over 50 legacy and third-party data sources through a self-service analytical model that fosters collaboration among business units and better sharing of data assets. A large and diverse set of use patterns includes:

- Machine learning and deep learning. Used to detect ID theft and refund fraud patterns; develop return-based risk scores for compliance planning; identify anomalies for case selection; embed case routing and treatment strategies into collection processes; and predict journey events in the sequence of customer service interactions.
- Artificial Intelligence (NLP, LLM, Generative AI). Used to better understand behavioral factors in taxpayer decisions; categorize appeals determinations; extract pertinent information (e.g., Named Entities, Amounts, and topics) from unstructured fields in return filings, identify related entities, material advisors, and other parties in complex business structures; compare third-party documents; and classify topics in customer service case notes. Generative AI/LLM capabilities are used to enable natural language question and answers from Internal Revenue Manuals and other IRS documents, as well as natural language query of data representing complex Pass-Through Entities. Also used for language translation to convert machine-readable text from dozens of foreign languages to English to facilitate other analytical methods.
- Graph analytics. Used to identify complex relationships and fraud patterns in business flow-throughs, tax shelters and entity establishments; detect noncompliance in preparer networks; develop risk-based models for multi-party transactions; and

model the diffusion of tax law changes through internal orders, process controls, and policies.

- Simulation. Used to perform what-if calculations for changes in tax policy; develop models of taxpayer choice for customer service channels; model tax evasion for pass-through entities; estimate taxpayer burden measures; better understand the impacts of labor migration; and facilitate improved workload planning for non-filer strategies.
- Optimization. Used to create dynamic, next-best-case recommendation for workload delivery; develop enterprise staffing attrition models; and identify optimal post-of-duty locations.

B. A thorough description of the data mining technology that is being used or will be used including the basis for determining whether a particular pattern or anomaly is indicative of terrorist or criminal activity

IDEA and LCA do not provide IRS with the ability to determine indicators of terrorist or criminal activity. Special agents and investigative analysts can query based on experience. Agents and analysts determine indicators of fraudulent activity based on previous successful investigations of money laundering, counterterrorism, and BSA violations.

TS employees use RRP to identify potentially fraudulent, noncompliant, and identity theft activity. IRS-CI uses the fraudulent tax returns identified by W&I as a basis for its criminal investigations. Paper refund returns come to RRP from the Generalized Mainline Framework (GMF).²⁶ This allows TS and SDC employees to review those returns for suspicious activities.

If a return meets designated score tolerances and/or other criteria, TS and IRS-CI personnel may examine the return for fraudulent activity. Once a return is verified to be false via screening, Taxpayer Protection Program authentication and/or the wage verification process, the fraudulent returns are added via Return Review Program Legacy Component (RRPLC), Electronic Fraud Detection System Case Management systemically or by TS and CI-IRS users to the Scheme Tracking and Retrieval System (STARS) component. IRS-CI investigative analysts review the returns in Discoverer and STARS to find possible schemes, or fraudulent patterns, which may result in a referral to a CI field office for investigation.

RRP employs multiple technologies for data mining activities. Each of these technologies use current year examples of identity theft (IDT), non-IDT tax fraud, and non-fraud to develop supervised models, unsupervised models, rules, and network analytics:

- SAS – RRP uses SAS as the workbench for developing and evaluating supervised and unsupervised models as well as for data exploration activities. RRP uses multiple SAS machine learning algorithms (e.g., decision trees, neural networks, logistic regression) to uncover patterns in the data associated with fraud. RRP also includes components of

²⁶ The Generalized Mainline Framework is a service center pipeline processing system that validates and perfects data from a variety of input sources. Tax returns, remittances, information returns, and adjustment and update transactions in the system are controlled, validated, corrected, and passed on for master file posting.

SAS' High Performing Analytics (e.g., SAS Grid, SAS in-database analytics) to develop and deploy models with greater complexity than what could be built on a traditional infrastructure. Greater complexity allows RRP models to display greater accuracy and robustness. Supervised models produce a score from 0.000 to 1.000 where a higher score represents a higher likelihood of a return being fraud.

- Greenplum Data Computing Appliance (DCA) – All RRP models are deployed and run directly in the database. Deploying models directly to the database removes the network latency required to move data to a separate application tier server containing the models. Moreover, the Greenplum DCA provides massively parallel processing capabilities across multiple segment servers. In addition to models developed using SAS, RRP also develops models in the form of custom user-defined functions in the Greenplum DCA.
 - a) RRP's network analytics tool – Linked Return Analysis (LRA) – uses multiple custom built Greenplum functions to link returns that display common, suspicious characteristics.
 - b) RRP builds “identity theft filters” using Greenplum functions. These functions combine the outputs of RRP models, rules and LRA to flag suspicious cases of identity theft treatment.
- FICO Blaze Advisor (FICO BA) – RRP builds and maintains business rules using FICO Blaze Advisor. FICO BA provides transparency into the logic that drives business decisions. FICO BA houses the logic that drives RRP's Systemic Verification process – the rule logic that matches taxpayer submitted Income Documents (IDOCs) to the document submitted by withholding party(ies) (e.g., employer submitted W-2s containing income and withholding information).

CDW provides a state-of-the-art research and technology infrastructure to enable a full range of analytical use patterns, including large-scale analysis of historical records, distributed parallel computing of data stored across deep storage/memory architectures, and in-memory computing of large data structures, such as complex graphs that aid users in identifying anomalous behavior that could be indicative of criminal or fraudulent behavior.

Major components of the CDW ecosystem include:

- Traditional data warehousing – centered on SAP IQ, SAP HANA, and SAP Data Services to enable SQL-based analytics User-Defined Functions (UDFs). Other database technologies include Oracle, SQL Server, PostgreSQL, and MongoDB.
- Analytical tools – Commercially licensed and open source software includes SAS, Stata, R, Python, Julia, Tableau, Zeppelin, ArcGIS, ExtendSim, NetLogo, Repast HPC, Cytoscape, Solr, ElasticSearch, Tesseract, Tensorflow, and BigSQL for machine learning, graph-based analytics, natural language processing, simulation, optimization, and advanced visualization.
- High performance computing – High Performance Computing with SLURM, Dask, and nVidia GPU cluster including CUDA libraries, Large Language Model NIMS (nVidia

Inferencing Models), Certara.ai; Containerized Distributed Computing Platform with Spark, SparklyR, PySpark, PyTorch, RAPIDS, TensorFlow, and other Apache-based tools; Machine Learning toolset including MLFlow and Nvidia Triton server.

- Image processing – centered on iPro, Kofax, Adobe, and IBM DataCap for image processing and Optical Character Recognition (OCR) services.
- Knowledge management – use of GitLab, MVC Forum, and Wiki to enable code management, collaboration, and other information services for analytics.

C. *A thorough description of the data sources that are being or will be used.*

The IRS-CI applications IDEA and LCA leverage the following data sources.

- **Taxpayer:** The source is the electronically filed return, as transmitted through Modernized e- File (MeF) or a paper filed tax return.
- **Employers/Payers:** Information from employers/payers captured on various forms as stored in the Information Returns Master File (IRMF).
- **Other Treasury sources:** BSA data provided by FinCEN, Specially Designated Nationals' data provided by the Office of Foreign Assets Control, and loan application data provided by the Pandemic Response Accountability Committee (PRAC).
- **Other IRS sources:** Tax Exempt Organizations data, Voluntary Disclosures, and Criminal Investigations data.

The RRP application leverages the following data sources.

- **Taxpayer:** The source is the electronically filed return (as transmitted through MeF) or a paper filed tax return. RRP also loads taxpayer data contained on the IRS Master File.
- **Employers/Payers:** Information from employers/payers captured on Form W-2 and/or Form 1099 as stored in the IRMF.
- **Other Federal agencies:** Federal Bureau of Prisons for prisoner information; Social Security Administration for National Accounts Profile data for dates of births and deaths.
- **State and local agencies:** All states and the District of Columbia prisons deliver prisoner listing information annually to IRS-W&I in electronic format.

CDW leverages the following data sources:

- **Taxpayer:** Tax returns from individuals, businesses, exempt organizations, and other taxpayers as transmitted through MeF or as a paper filed tax return.
- **Employers/Payers:** Information from employers/payers captured on various forms as stored in the IRMF.
- **Other Federal agencies.** Social Security Administration for birth/death data, Department of Justice for sealed documents, Department of Transportation for excise-related information, Department of Treasury (Financial Crimes

Enforcement Network) for Bank Secrecy Act data (Both Title 26 and Title 31 data).

- **Other IRS sources:** Tax Treaty organizations, Voluntary Disclosures, case management systems for examination, collection, and under reporter data.

D. An assessment of the efficacy or likely efficacy of the data mining activity in providing accurate information consistent with, and valuable to, the stated goals and plans for the use or development of the data mining activity.

The data uncovered during the query searches are only leads and require additional investigative steps for quality verification. There is no empirical data on the efficacy of searches by the IDEA and LCA applications.

The success of RRP can be measured in terms of identity theft detection and ability to identify returns ready for non-identity theft compliance referral treatment. Two key metrics are used to assess RRP's effectiveness: lead generation (i.e., identification of potential fraud for the business to review) and lead accuracy rate (i.e., projected percentage of RRP selections which turned out to be real fraud).

In processing year (PY) 2024 (through October 2, 2024), RRP selected 1,875,081 identity theft leads with a projected lead accuracy of 44%. In addition to identity theft detections, RRP includes models, rules, and filters to identify returns ready for non-identity theft compliance. In PY 2024, RRP selected 871,127 (through October 2, 2024) for non-identity theft for referral treatment with a lead accuracy rate of 45%. RRP has the capability to reprocess, and screen returns when the IRS receives taxpayer authentication or new/updated third party data (e.g., employer submitted W-2s) to align with PATH act and ensure selected returns are adequately reviewed before refunds are released.

The efficacy of the FinCEN Query system is discussed in Section (D) of that report.

For CDW, the results produced from data analysis represent insights or potential leads. Insights or potential leads generated using CDW data should be validated using information from operational systems with authoritative data.

E. An assessment of the impact, or likely impact, of the implementation of the data mining activity on the privacy and civil liberties of individuals, including a thorough description of the actions that are or will be taken with regard to the property, privacy, or other rights or privileges of any individual or individuals as a result of implementing the data mining activity.

Once evidence of fraud is discovered, laws and administrative procedures, policies, and controls govern the ensuing actions. IDEA, LCA, and CDW applications use personally identifiable information (PII), where needed, for record matching and analysis in data-driven investigations. CI and RAAS follow the IRS security and privacy IRM standards and regulations for the use and protection of PII.

The impact or likely impact of RRP data mining activities on privacy and civil liberties of individuals is governed by 26 U.S.C. § 6103, which provides general rules of maintaining confidentiality and permissible disclosures. Under this statute, all taxpayer data are private and confidential and protected from disclosure except under specific conditions. Additional laws provide for civil and criminal penalties for any unauthorized disclosure of taxpayer data. The penalties include (1) felony for the willful unauthorized disclosure of tax information, (2) misdemeanor for the unauthorized inspection of tax information, and (3) civil cause of action for the taxpayer whose information has been inspected or disclosed in a manner not authorized by Section 6103. The CI special agents receive periodic training on maximum sentencing and penalties for each criminal violation. Access to the system requires a background check. IRS has a system, Business Entitlement Access Request System (BEARS), that governs program access authorization.

RRP data mining activities, including machine learning and scoring processes, do not directly use PII in determining whether a return is likely to be fraudulent. Scoring occurs on the characteristics of the return in question, not on PII. When performing investigative techniques, PII associated with the return is pulled to assist in validating the return was filed using the taxpayer account in question and to determine venue of the investigation.

The tax returns that IRS-CI reviews are the subjects of criminal investigations and actions based on tax laws, policies, and criminal procedures. Other tax returns are subjected to IRS civil treatments and examination procedures that provide for due process and redress procedures through taxpayer notification, appeals, and tax court options.

F. A list and analysis of the laws and regulations that govern the information being collected, reviewed, gathered, analyzed, or used in the data mining activity.

The use of all tax data is governed by 26 U.S.C. § 6103. Subsection (a) sets out the general rule of confidentiality. Subsection (b) sets forth definitions of terms commonly used throughout Section 6103. Subsections (c) through (o) of Section 6103 contain exceptions to the general rule of confidentiality. These subsections permit disclosures as described generally below:

- Section 6103(c) – Disclosures to taxpayer’s designees (consent);
- Section 6103(d) – Disclosures to state tax officials and certain state and local law enforcement agencies;
- Section 6103(e) – Disclosures to the taxpayer and persons having a material interest;
- Section 6103(f) – Disclosures to certain committees of Congress;
- Section 6103(g) – Disclosures to the President and certain other persons;
- Section 6103(h) – Disclosures to Federal employees and the courts for tax administration purposes;
- Section 6103(i) – Disclosures to Federal employees for non-tax criminal law enforcement purposes and to combat terrorism, as well as the Government Accountability Office;
- Section 6103(j) – Disclosures for statistical purposes;
- Section 6103(k) – Disclosures for certain miscellaneous tax administration purposes;
- Section 6103(l) – Disclosures for purposes other than tax administration;

- Section 6103(m) – Disclosures of taxpayer identity information (generally for Federal debt collection purposes);
- Section 6103(n) – Disclosures to contractors for tax administration purposes; and
- Section 6103(o) – Disclosures with respect to certain taxes.

In addition to disclosures permitted under the provisions of Section 6103, other provisions of the Code also authorize disclosure of tax information. For example, Section 6104 authorizes disclosure of certain tax information regarding tax-exempt organizations, trusts claiming charitable deductions, and qualified pension plans. Section 6110 authorizes disclosure of certain written determinations and their background files.

Note: The use of Bank Secrecy Act data is governed by 31 U.S.C. §5319.

G. A thorough discussion of the policies, procedures, and guidelines that are in place or that are to be developed and applied in the use of such data mining activity in order to:

(i) protect the privacy and due process rights of individuals, such as redress procedures.

All tax information is protected as required in 26 U.S.C. § 6103 (see E and F above). All employees who interact with tax return and other protected information are required to undergo yearly refresher training that details their responsibilities with respect to information protection and disclosure. In addition to covering 26 U.S.C. § 6103 disclosure provisions, this training module also includes information on the Privacy Act, E-Government Act, Freedom of Information Act, and policies related to protecting PII and other sensitive information. The use of BSA information is strictly controlled under the statute that directs its collection.

The data resulting from queries or statistical analysis in IDEA, LCA, and CDW are used as a lead or as insights for actionable decisions and requires additional investigative steps to verify the quality of the information, as discussed above. IRS maintains an audit trail on all users' access to case data. In addition, a full system log is maintained for any system level activities, including new data loads to IDEA, LCA, and CDW systems.

RRP does not determine whether a return is fraudulent or whether a person is going to be subject to criminal prosecution. Once fraud is suspected, laws and administrative procedures, policies, and controls govern criminal investigations or any other ensuing actions. Due process is provided during any ensuing criminal investigation or civil action.

(i) ensure that only accurate and complete information is collected, reviewed, analyzed, or used and guard against any harmful consequences of potential inaccuracies.

An individual/entity self-reports tax data when submitting the information to the government. FinCEN's data are gathered from information compiled by the reporter based on information provided by their customer or based on the reporter's personal experience. Investigators scrutinize the Suspicious Activity Reports filed by the subject companies and request grand jury subpoenas for the underlying documentation. The supporting records are examined, and

individuals of interest are identified.

IDEA, LCA, and CDW use data for investigative or research purposes under the IRS Internal Revenue Manual (IRM) standards and guidelines. The data resulting from query searches is used as a lead or as insights for actionable decisions and requires additional investigative steps to verify the quality of the information. CI and RAAS use this data for generating leads and other data-driven insights that are subsequently verified by special agents or research analysts for further investigative or analytical work.

CDW implements a standard set of rules during the extract, transformation, and load (ETL) process to ensure that data collected from authoritative systems is accurately replicated for research purposes. These include, but are not limited to, ensuring accurate row counts, identifying duplicate rows, applying consistent data types and database indexes, and standardizing common geographic attributes across database tables.

The tax return information and other information stored in RRP used for data mining are based on outside data sources. The only data generated in RRP is for system monitoring and diagnostics. Through a series of test case procedures executed through application qualification testing (AQT), systems acceptability testing (SAT), user acceptance testing (UAT) conducted by business users and final integration test (FIT), the IRS verifies that the data loaded into RRP matches the data from the input source and that the system accurately displays the data in the RRP end user applications. AQT, SAT, and FIT perform verification with each release of the system. IRS applications are required to have internal auditing capabilities. The internal audits track user access and queries performed with checks against misuse.

Combined Reports Conclusion

The Department of the Treasury is pleased to provide to Congress its Annual Privacy, Section 803 Report, and Data Mining Report, for Fiscal Year 2024. Treasury, Office of Privacy, Transparency, and Records has reviewed the activities and programs described in this combined report and will continue to work closely with all Treasury bureaus and offices to protect individual privacy and civil liberties in all agency activities.

Aditi Hardikar
Assistant Secretary for Management
U.S. Department of the Treasury



Appendix A: Department of the Treasury Semiannual Report on Privacy and Civil Liberties Activities under Section 803 of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007 April 1, 2024 through September 30, 2024

Reviews		Advice and Response			Complaints		
Type	Number	Type	Number	Response (Pending/ Accepted/ in Process)	Type of claim or assertion in complaint	# of complaints	Disposition
Privacy (and Civil Liberties) Threshold Analyses (PTAs/PCLTAs)	71	Provide advice and recommendation regarding proper handling of PII/limiting access based on need to know	50	Accepted: all	PRIVACY: Unauthorized disclosure (internal/external)	Internal: 1 External: 3	Internal: Closed External: (7) Closed – no TIG nexus and/or referred to appropriate department/bureau (3) Pending final decision: 2 resolved in favor of the government: 1
Privacy (and Civil Liberties) Impact Assessments (PIAs/PCLIAs)	99	Provide advice and recommendation on relevance and necessity of data collection/ingestion	50	Accepted: all	PRIVACY: (Other Describe)		Closed
System of Records (SOR) Routine Use/ SOR Notices (SORNs)	6	Provided guidance to system owners or personnel on necessary privacy compliance documentation or appropriate NIST risk rating	80	Accepted: all	PRIVACY: (Other Describe)	Total: 17 4 th : 2 5 th : 14 16 th : 1	Pending final decision: 14 Resolved in favor of the Government: 3 Settled: 0 Resolved against the Government: 0
Computer Matching Agreements (CMAs)	8	Provide advice and recommendation on internal/external sharing of PII (including Privacy Act info)	40	Accepted: all	CIVIL LIBERTIES: Violation 1 st , 4 th , 5 th , 6 th , 14 th and/or 16 th Amendment rights	(# of complaints/amendments alleged to have been violated) Total: 23 8 th : 3 Multiple: 12 Unspecified: 8	Pending final decision: 18 Resolved in favor of the Government: 5 Settled: 0 Resolved against the Government: 0
		Provide advice and recommendation on web privacy policies/privacy notices	5	Accepted	CIVIL LIBERTIES: (Other: Describe)	0	